IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA BEAUFORT DIVISION

Rahmad I. Norman)
)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action No. 9:17-cv-01552-TMC
v.)
) ORDER
Officer Burns, Warden Tim Riley,)
Asst. Warden Lane, Lt. Reeves,)
Officer Nelson, and NFN Albert,)
)
Defendants.)

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brought this action seeking relieve pursuant to Title 42, United States Code Section 1983. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02, D.S.C., this matter was referred to a magistrate judge for pretrial handling. Before the court is the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), that this case be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) due to Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's Proper Form Order (ECF No. 9) and failure to heed the magistrate judge's explicit warning that the case would be dismissed if the complaint was not in proper form by the deadline for filing objections to the Report. (ECF No. 12). Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report and his right to bring the case to proper form by the objection deadline. (ECF No. 12 at 2-3). However, Plaintiff did not file any objections and did not bring the case into proper form. The time to do so has now run.

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the

absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th

Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a careful and thorough review of the record under the appropriate standards, as set

forth above, the court adopts the Report (ECF No. 12), which is incorporated herein by

reference. Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. Plaintiff's Motion to

Proceed in forma pauperis is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Timothy M. Cain

United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina October 4, 2017

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

The parties are hereby notified of the right to appeal this order pursuant to Rules 3 and 4

of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

2